

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/076,384	02/19/2002		Naoto Kinjo	1110-0299P	1414
2292	7590	09/22/2005		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STE		COLASCH & BIR	JELINEK, BRIAN J		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ĺ			2615	

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/076,384	KINJO, NAOTO					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Brian Jelinek	2615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Fe	ebruary 2002.						
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.	·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	•						
•		d to by the Examiner					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority under 35 LLS C & 110(a)	-(d) or (f)					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 33 0.0.0. § 119(a)	-(u) or (i).					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •						
application from the International Bureau		a III tilis i vational Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	* **	d.					
222 202 202002 202002 20000 00000 00000							
Retropher and (a)							
Attachment(s)	a) [] 1_1 : o	(DTO 442)					
1) Unotice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/076,384 Page 2

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

This is a requirement for election of species in response to application no. 10/076,384

filed on 2/19/2002 in which claims 1-21 are presented for examination.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

species of the claimed invention:

Species I:

Fig. 1

Species II:

Fig. 2

Species III:

Fig. 3

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is

finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Upon selection of Species I, II, or III, the Applicant is further invited to elect a

single sub-species from the following:

Sub-species A:

Fig. 5

Sub-species B:

Fig. 6

Sub-species C:

Fig. 7

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/076,384

Art Unit: 2615

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Jelinek whose telephone number is (571) 272-7366. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 am - 5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Ometz can be reached at (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian Jelinek 9/16/2005

> DAVID L. OMETZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4